



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO) . 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,735 04/02/2004		04/02/2004	Michael Jay Nelson	34000/008	1390
40997	7590	06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	E ZARINI CORPORA		WOO, STELLA L		
		LLS DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSW11 -			2614		
OMAHA,	NE 6815	4	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/816,735	NELSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stella L. Woo	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	bruary 2006						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
	,						
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-30,32-40,42,45-47 and 54-78</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-30,32-40,42,45-47 and 54-78</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a)[ov the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		` ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>		4040					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/02/2004</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/816,735

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-30, 32-40, 42, 45-47, 54-78 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26, 33-46, 48-54,56-57, 60-69 of copending Application No. 10/816,633. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broader than the copending claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/816,735 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

For example, claim 1 of the present application compares with claim 23 in copending 10/816,613 as follows:

Present claim 1	Claim 23 (including subject matter of parent claim 1) in 10/816,613
An emergency services network for providing emergency services for a conforming emergency system, the emergency services network comprising:	A communication network for providing emergency services, said communication network includes a packet network, the communication network comprising:
a plurality of emergency services connected to a transport network;	an emergency services network comprising a plurality of emergency services; and
a plurality of resources connected to the transport network and to the conforming emergency system, wherein at least one of the plurality of resources is configured to receive a retrieval key from the conforming emergency system and to forward the retrieval key to the transport network; and	a plurality of response gateways connected to the packet networkwherein each response gateway is configured to transmit queriesresponsive to receipt of the retrieval keyto receive the information originating from the emergency services corresponding with the retrieval key
a service/name resolution (SNR) system connected to the transport network configured to receive the retrieval key over the transport network, to identify at least one of the emergency services associated with the retrieval key, and to forward the retrieval key to the identified emergency services via the transport network.	a service/name resolution (SNR) system configured to receive the retrieval key from one of the response gateways, identify which of the plurality of emergency services correspond with the retrieval key, and transmit a message to the one response gateway indicated the identified emergency services.

As seen from the above comparison, the present claim 1 is a broader and obvious version of the claim 23 of the copending application.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/816,735

Art Unit: 2614

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30, 32-40, 42, 45-57, 54-78 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STELLA WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4